

24



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/735,689	12/12/2000	Ole Bentz	500844.01	6578

27076 7590 02/25/2005

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT  
SUITE 3400  
1420 FIFTH AVENUE  
SEATTLE, WA 98101

EXAMINER

SEALEY, LANCE W

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2671

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

09/735,689

**Applicant(s)**

BENTZ, OLE

**Examiner**

Lance W. Sealey

**Art Unit**

2671

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 October 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2,4-7,9,11-13,15,17,19-22,24,26,27,29-36 and 38-43 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2,4-7,9,11-13,15,17,19-22,24,26,27,29-36 and 38-43 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 2671

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 9, 2, 5-7, 17, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,953,015 to Choi.

a. Referring to claim 9, Choi discloses calculating the square of a first ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a first axis and the square of a second ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a second axis orthogonal to the first axis and selecting the greater of the square of the first ratio and the square of the second ratio for calculating the LOD (column 3, lines 45-50), approximating a base two logarithm of the square of the ratio (column 3, lines 50-55). Choi does not explicitly disclose dividing the result by two to provide the LOD. Choi discloses incorporating the 1/2 factor in the approximation (column 3, lines 50-55). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Choi by dividing by two after approximating a base two logarithm of the square of the ratio. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been because it would allow a more exact approximation of the LOD. Finally, Choi does not explicitly disclose the square of the first ratio and the square of the second ratio represented by binary values having

Art Unit: 2671

integer portions represented by a number of bits equal to twice the maximum LOD value.

However, it is at least obvious that a given LOD value would be represented by twice the number of bits. For example, suppose the square of the first ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a first axis and the square of a second ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a second axis orthogonal to the first axis is 256. The base two logarithm of 256 is 8, which represents eight bits. Applying the claim limitation “dividing the result by two to provide the LOD”, 8 divided by 2 is 4. Therefore an LOD of 4 requires 8 bits, and this claim limitation is fulfilled.

b. Referring to claim 2, Choi does not expressly disclose wherein dividing the result by two comprises shifting a binary value of the LOD right one bit. However, Examiner takes Official Notice that shifting a binary value to the right one bit to divide is conventional and well-known. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to divide a binary number by two by shifting a binary value right one bit since the Examiner takes Official Notice that dividing a binary number by two by shifting a binary value right one bit is well-known and conventional.

c. Referring to claim 5, Choi discloses wherein the square of the ratio comprises an unsigned fixed-point binary value having an integer portion and a fractional portion (column 5, lines 8-11).

d. Referring to claim 6, Choi does not explicitly disclose wherein the integer portion is 27 bits in length. As noted above, it is well-known in the art to incorporate enough bits to specify a desired range of numbers, Official Notice taken.

Art Unit: 2671

e. Referring to claim 7, Choi suggests the fractional portion is 5 bits in length (column 5, lines 12-13).

f. Referring to claim 17, Choi does not expressly disclose a shifting circuit coupled to receive the result of the approximation and adapted to divide the approximation by two by shifting the approximation right one bit. However, Examiner takes Official Notice that utilizing a shifting circuit to shift a binary value to the right one bit to divide is conventional and well-known. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to divide a binary number by two by utilizing a shifting circuit to shift a binary value right one bit since the Examiner takes Official Notice that dividing a binary number by two by utilizing a shifting circuit to shift a binary value right one bit is well-known and conventional.

g. Referring to claim 24, Choi discloses calculating the square of a first ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a first axis and the square of a second ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a second axis orthogonal to the first axis; and selecting the greater of the square of the first ratio and the square of the second ratio for calculating the LOD (column 3, lines 45-50) and approximating a base two logarithm of the selected square of the ratio (column 3, line 55- column 4, line, 15). Choi does not explicitly disclose dividing the result of the approximation by two to compute the LOD. Choi discloses incorporating the  $1/2$  factor in the approximation (column 3, lines 50-55). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Choi by dividing by two after approximating a base two logarithm of the square of the ratio. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been because it would allow a more exact approximation of the LOD.

Art Unit: 2671

Finally, Choi does not explicitly disclose the square of the first ratio and the square of the second ratio represented by binary values having integer portions represented by a number of bits equal to twice the maximum LOD value. However, it is at least obvious that a given LOD value would be represented by twice the number of bits. For example, suppose the square of the first ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a first axis and the square of a second ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a second axis orthogonal to the first axis is 256. The base two logarithm of 256 is 8, which represents eight bits. Applying the claim limitation "dividing the result by two to provide the LOD", 8 divided by 2 is 4. Therefore an LOD of 4 requires 8 bits, and this claim limitation is fulfilled.

3. Claims 4, 11-13, 15, and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi as applied to claims 9 and 15 above and further in view of National Semiconductor, "Easy Logarithms for COP400" (NS).

a. Referring to claim 4, Choi as applied to claim 9 meets the limitations recited in claim 4 except shifting the square of the ratio left by the number of leading zeros (LZs) and ignoring the most significant bit (MSB) of the resulting number to produce a first number; calculating a six-bit signed integer value from the equation: 6-bit signed integer  $\_ [(\text{number of integer bits} - 1) - \text{LZs}]$ ; concatenating the six-bit signed integer value to a first number; and defining the five MSBs of the resulting number as the signed integer portion of the LOD. NS discloses shifting the square of a number left by the number of leading zeros and the MSB, effectively ignoring the MSB (page 1, column 2, paragraph 3); calculating a characteristic (integer) based on the number of characteristic bits minus the number of shifts required, effectively the  $[(\text{number of integer bits} -$

Art Unit: 2671

1) - LZs] (page 1, column 2, paragraph 3); concatenating the integer value to first number (page 1, Figure 3); and defining the resulting number as the integer portion (page 1, column 2, paragraph 3 and Figure 3). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Choi with the teachings of NS. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to reduce the complexity and number of iterations in logarithm calculation (NS, page 1, paragraph 1) and produce a more accurate logarithm approximation.

b. Referring to claim 15, Choi discloses calculating the square of a first ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a first axis and the square of a second ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a second axis orthogonal to the first axis; selecting the greater of the square of the first ratio and the square of the second ratio for calculating the LOD (column 3, lines 45-50); the LOD has a fractional portion (column 5, lines 65-67; column 6, lines 20-30) and as noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 2 above, it would be obvious to multiply to the 1/2 factor disclosed by Choi (column 3, lines 50-55) after the logarithm approximation and it is well-known to shift a binary number right one bit to perform division. Choi does not explicitly shifting the selected square of the ratio left by the number of leading zeros (LZs) and ignoring the most significant bit (MSB) of the resulting number to produce a first number; calculating a six-bit signed integer value from the equation: 6-bit signed integer = [(number of integer bits - 1) - LZs], where the number of integer bits is the number of integer bits representing the selected square of the ratio; concatenating the six-bit signed integer value to a first number; defining the five MSBs of the resulting number as the signed integer portion of the LOD. As noted in the rejection of

Art Unit: 2671

claim 4 above, NS discloses approximating a logarithm by shifting a number left by the number of leading zeros (LZs) and ignoring the most significant bit (MSB) of the resulting number to produce a first number (page 1, column 2, paragraph 3); calculating a six-bit signed integer value from the equation: 6-bit signed integer = [(number of integer bits - 1) - LZs] ((page 1, column 2, paragraph 3); concatenating the six-bit signed integer value to the first number (page 1, Figure 3) and defining the five MSBs of the resulting number as a signed integer portion (page 1, column 2, paragraph 3 and Figure 3) At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Choi with the teachings of NS. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to reduce the complexity and number of iterations in logarithm calculation (NS, page 1, paragraph 1). Finally, Choi does not explicitly disclose the square of the first ratio and the square of the second ratio represented by binary values having integer portions represented by a number of bits equal to twice the maximum LOD value. However, it is at least obvious that a given LOD value would be represented by twice the number of bits. For example, suppose the square of the first ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a first axis and the square of a second ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a second axis orthogonal to the first axis is 256. The base two logarithm of 256 is 8, which represents eight bits. Applying the claim limitation “dividing the result by two to provide the LOD”, 8 divided by 2 is 4. Therefore an LOD of 4 requires 8 bits, and this claim limitation is fulfilled.

c. Referring to claim 11, Choi discloses the square of the ratio comprises an unsigned fixed-point binary value having an integer portion and a fractional portion (column 5, lines 8-11).



Art Unit: 2671

d. Referring to claim 12, Choi does not explicitly disclose wherein the integer portion is 27 bits in length. As noted above, it is well-known in the art to incorporate enough bits to specify a desired range of numbers, Official Notice taken.

e. Referring to claim 13, Choi discloses wherein the fractional portion is 5 bits in length (column 5, lines 12-13).

f. Referring to claim 19, Choi as applied to claim 16 meets the limitations recited in claim 19 except shifting the square of the ratio left by the number of leading zeros (LZs) and ignoring the most significant bit (MSB) of the resulting number to produce a first number; calculating a six-bit signed integer value from the equation:  $6\text{-bit signed integer} = [( \text{number of integer bits} - 1 ) - \text{LZs}]$ ; concatenating the six-bit signed integer value to a first number; and defining the five MSBs of the resulting number as the signed integer portion of the LOD. NS discloses shifting the square of a number left by the number of leading zeros and the MSB, effectively ignoring the MSB (page 1, column 2, paragraph 3); calculating a characteristic (integer) based on the number of characteristic bits minus the number of shifts required, effectively the  $[( \text{number of integer bits} - 1 ) - \text{LZs}]$  (page 1, column 2, paragraph 3); concatenating the integer value to first number (page 1, Figure 3); and defining the resulting number as the integer portion (page 1, column 2, paragraph 3 and Figure 3). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Choi with the teachings of NS. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to reduce the complexity and number of iterations in logarithm calculation (NS, page 1, paragraph 1) and produce a more accurate logarithm approximation.

Art Unit: 2671

g. Referring to claim 20, Choi discloses wherein the square of the ratio comprises an unsigned fixed-point binary value having an integer portion and a fractional portion (column 5, lines 8-11).

h. Referring to claim 21, Choi does not explicitly disclose wherein the integer portion is 27 bits in length. As noted above, it is well-known in the art to incorporate enough bits to specify a desired range of numbers, Official Notice taken.

i. Referring to claim 22, Choi discloses wherein the fractional portion is 5 bits in length (column 5, lines 12-13).

4. Claims 26-27, 30, 32-36 and 39-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,292,191 to Vaswani et al. (Vaswani).

a. Referring to claim 26, Choi discloses a LOD computation circuit adapted to receive signals representing texel coordinates for texels of a texture map and pixel coordinates for pixels of a graphics image to calculate a level-of-detail (LOD) (column 3, lines 55-60 and Figure 2), the computation circuit calculating the square of a first ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a first axis and the square of a second ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a second axis orthogonal to the first axis; and selecting the greater of the square of the first ratio and the square of the second ratio for calculating, the LOD (column 3, lines 45-50), approximating a base two logarithm of the square of the ratio (column 3, line 60 - column 4, line 14). Choi does not explicitly disclose a bus interface for coupling to a system bus; a graphics processor coupled to the bus interface to process graphics data; address and data busses coupled to the graphics processor to transfer address and graphics data to and from the graphics processor; display logic coupled to the data bus to drive a display; or dividing the approximation

Art Unit: 2671

by two to compute the LOD. As noted above, it would be obvious to modify the teachings of Choi to include circuitry to multiply the approximated logarithm by  $1/2$ , instead of incorporating the  $1/2$  factor into the approximation. Vaswani discloses a bus interface for coupling to a system bus (Figure 3); a graphics processor coupled to the bus interface to process graphics data (Figure 3); address and data busses coupled to the graphics processor to transfer address and graphics data to and from the graphics processor (Figure 3); display logic coupled to data bus to drive a display (Figure 3). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Choi with the teachings of Vaswani. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to process and display the graphic data. Finally, Choi does not explicitly disclose the square of the first ratio and the square of the second ratio represented by binary values having integer portions represented by a number of bits equal to twice the maximum LOD value. However, it is at least obvious that a given LOD value would be represented by twice the number of bits. For example, suppose the square of the first ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a first axis and the square of a second ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a second axis orthogonal to the first axis is 256. The base two logarithm of 256 is 8, which represents eight bits. Applying the claim limitation "dividing the result by two to provide the LOD", 8 divided by 2 is 4. Therefore an LOD of 4 requires 8 bits, and this claim limitation is fulfilled.

b. Referring to claim 27, Choi does not explicitly disclose a shifting circuit adapted to divide by two by shifting the approximation right one bit. However, Examiner takes Official Notice that utilizing a shifting circuit to shift a binary value to the right one bit to divide is conventional and

Art Unit: 2671

well-known. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to divide a binary number by two by utilizing a shifting circuit to shift a binary value right one bit since the Examiner takes Official Notice that dividing a binary number by two by utilizing a shifting circuit to shift a binary value right one bit is well-known and conventional.

c. Referring to claim 30, Choi discloses the square of the ratio calculated by the LOD computation circuit comprises an unsigned fixed-point binary value having an integer portion and a fractional portion (column 5, lines 8-11).

d. Referring to claim 31, Choi does not explicitly disclose wherein the integer portion is 27 bits in length. As noted above, it is well-known in the art to incorporate enough bits to specify a desired range of numbers, Official Notice taken.

e. Referring to claim 32, Choi suggests the fractional portion is 5 bits in length (column 5, lines 12-13).

f. Referring to claim 33, Choi discloses the LOD computed by the LOD computation circuit comprises a signed fixed point binary value having an integer portion and a fractional portion (column 6, lines 20-26).

g. Referring to claim 34, Choi does not explicitly disclose wherein the integer portion is 5 bits in length. As noted above, it is well-known in the art to incorporate enough bits to specify a desired range of numbers, Official Notice taken.

h. Referring to claim 35, Choi discloses a LOD computation circuit adapted to receive signals representing texel coordinates for texels of a texture map and pixel coordinates for pixels of a graphics image to calculate a level-of-detail (LOD) (column 3, lines 55-60 and Figure 2), the

Art Unit: 2671

computation calculating the square of a first ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a first axis and the square of a second ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a second axis orthogonal to the first axis; and selecting the greater of the square of the first ratio and the square of the second ratio for calculating the LOD (column 3, lines 45-50), approximating a base two logarithm of the square of the ratio (column 3, line 60 - column 4, line 14). Choi does not explicitly disclose a system processor; a system bus coupled to the system processor; a system memory coupled to the system bus; and a graphics processing system coupled to the system bus, the graphics processing system comprising: a bus interface for coupling to a system bus; a graphics processor coupled to the bus interface to process graphics data; address and data busses coupled to the graphics processor to transfer address and graphics data to and from the graphics processor; display logic coupled to the data bus to drive a display; or dividing the result of the approximation by two to compute the LOD. As noted above, it would be obvious to modify the teachings of Choi to include circuitry to multiply the approximated logarithm by  $1/2$ , instead of incorporating the  $1/2$  factor into the approximation. Vaswani discloses a bus interface for coupling to a system bus (Figure 3); a graphics processor coupled to the bus interface to process graphics data (Figure 3); address and data busses coupled to the graphics processor to transfer address and graphics data to and from the graphics processor (Figure 3); display logic coupled to data bus to drive a display (Figure 3). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Choi with the teachings of Vaswani. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to process and display the graphic data. Finally, Choi does not explicitly

Art Unit: 2671

disclose the square of the first ratio and the square of the second ratio represented by binary values having integer portions represented by a number of bits equal to twice the maximum LOD value. However, it is at least obvious that a given LOD value would be represented by twice the number of bits. For example, suppose the square of the first ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a first axis and the square of a second ratio between the number of texels for one pixel along a second axis orthogonal to the first axis is 256. The base two logarithm of 256 is 8, which represents eight bits. Applying the claim limitation “dividing the result by two to provide the LOD”, 8 divided by 2 is 4. Therefore an LOD of 4 requires 8 bits, and this claim limitation is fulfilled.

i. Referring to claim 36, Choi does not expressly disclose a shifting circuit coupled to receive the result of the approximation and adapted to divide the approximation by two by shifting the approximation right one bit. However, Examiner takes Official Notice that utilizing a shifting circuit to shift a binary value to the right one bit to divide is conventional and well-known. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to divide a binary number by two by utilizing a shifting circuit to shift a binary value right one bit since the Examiner takes Official Notice that dividing a binary number by two by utilizing a shifting circuit to shift a binary value right one bit is well-known and conventional.

j. Referring to claim 39, Choi discloses the square of the ratio calculated by the LOD computation circuit comprises an unsigned fixed-point binary value having an integer portion and a fractional portion (column 5, lines 8-11).

Art Unit: 2671

k. Referring to claim 40, Choi does not explicitly disclose wherein the integer portion is 27 bits in length. As noted above, it is well-known in the art to incorporate enough bits to specify a desired range of numbers, Official Notice taken.

l. Referring to claim 41, Choi discloses wherein the fractional portion is 5 bits in length (column 5, lines 12-13).

m. Referring to claim 42, Choi discloses the LOD computed by the LOD computation circuit comprises a signed fixed point binary value having an integer portion and a fractional portion (column 6, lines 20-26).

n. Referring to claim 43, Choi does not explicitly disclose wherein the integer portion is 5 bits in length. As noted above, it is well-known in the art to incorporate enough bits to specify a desired range of numbers, Official Notice taken.

5. Claims 29 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi in view of Vaswani as applied to claims 26 and 35 above and further in view of NS.

a. Referring to claim 29, the modified method of Choi as applied to claim 26 above meets the limitations recited in claim 29, except shifting the square of the ratio left by the number of leading zeros (LZs) and ignoring the most significant bit (MSB) of the resulting number to produce a first number; calculating a six-bit signed integer value from the equation: 6-bit signed integer = [(number of integer bits - 1) - LZs]; concatenating the six-bit signed integer value to a first number; and defining the five MSBs of the resulting number as the signed integer portion of the LOD. NS discloses shifting the square of a number left by the number of leading zeros and the MSB, effectively ignoring the MSB (page 1, column 2, paragraph 3); calculating a

Art Unit: 2671

characteristic (integer) based on the number of characteristic bits minus the number of shifts required, effectively the  $[(\text{number of integer bits} - 1) - \text{LZs}]$  (page 1, column 2, paragraph 3); concatenating the integer value to first number (page 1, Figure 3); and defining the resulting number as the integer portion (page 1, column 2, paragraph 3 and Figure 3). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Choi with the teachings of NS. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to reduce the complexity and number of iterations in logarithm calculation (NS, page 1, paragraph 1) and produce a more accurate logarithm approximation.

b. Referring to claim 38, the modified method of Choi as applied to claim 35 above meets the limitations recited in claim 38, except shifting the square of the ratio left by the number of leading zeros (LZs) and ignoring the most significant bit (MSB) of the resulting number to produce a first number; calculating a six-bit signed integer value from the equation: 6-bit signed integer =  $[(\text{number of integer bits} - 1) - \text{LZs}]$ ; concatenating the six-bit signed integer value to a first number; and defining the five MSBs of the resulting number as the signed integer portion of the LOD. NS discloses shifting the square of a number left by the number of leading zeros and the MSB, effectively ignoring the MSB (page 1, column 2, paragraph 3); calculating a characteristic (integer) based on the number of characteristic bits minus the number of shifts required, effectively the  $[(\text{number of integer bits} - 1) - \text{LZs}]$  (page 1, column 2, paragraph 3); concatenating the integer value to first number (page 1, Figure 3); and defining the resulting number as the integer portion (page 1, column 2, paragraph 3 and Figure 3). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify



Art Unit: 2671

the disclosure of Choi with the teachings of NS. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to reduce the complexity and number of iterations in logarithm calculation (NS, page 1, paragraph 1) and produce a more accurate logarithm approximation.

***Response to Arguments***

6. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant has added the claim limitation "the square of the first ratio and the square of the second ratio represented by binary values having integer portions represented by a number of bits equal to twice the maximum LOD value." As stated above, Choi does not explicitly disclose the square of the first ratio and the square of the second ratio represented by binary values having integer portions represented by a number of bits equal to twice the maximum LOD value. However, it is at least obvious that a given LOD value would be represented by twice the number of bits. Therefore, the claims of this application still stand rejected.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lance Sealey whose telephone number is (703) 305-0026. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (7:00AM-3:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman, can be reached at (703) 305-9798.

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Art Unit: 2671

MS Non-Fee Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**or faxed to:**

**(703) 872-9306**

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,  
Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



MARK ZIMMERMAN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600